UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. $18 - 0219 - PJH - 3$
. Plaintiff, v.) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Ahmed Ahmed Dharah Defendant(s).	MAR 19 2019
For the reasons stated by the parties on the reco Trial Act from to to continuance outweigh the best interest of the pu	and finds that the ends of justice served by the ablic and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance w See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i	ould be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of or law, that it is unreasonable to	the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial polished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continuance w taking into account the exercise	ould deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance w counsel's other scheduled case of See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i	rould unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. v).
Failure to grant a continuance w necessary for effective preparati See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i	rould unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time on, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. v).
disposition of criminal cases, the paragraph and — based on the p the time limits for a preliminary extending the 30-day time perio	nt, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt e court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for d for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: March 19, 2019	Landis Mythee
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	